

How does instant autovaporization deepen the cold press-extraction process of sunflower vegetal oil?

Amal Zeaiter, Colette Besombes, Larbi Rhazi, Amira Haddarah, Tayssir

Hamieh, Karim Allaf

▶ To cite this version:

Amal Zeaiter, Colette Besombes, Larbi Rhazi, Amira Haddarah, Tayssir Hamieh, et al.. How does instant autovaporization deepen the cold press-extraction process of sunflower vegetal oil?. Journal of Food Engineering, 2019, 263, pp.70-78. 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2019.04.024 . hal-02478421

HAL Id: hal-02478421 https://univ-rochelle.hal.science/hal-02478421

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 2	How Do	es Instant Autovaporization Deepen the Cold Press-Extraction Process of Sunflower Vegetal Oil?
3 4	Amal ZI	EAITER ^{1,2,3} , Colette BESOMBES ³ , Larbi RHAZI ⁴ , Amira HADDARAH ¹ , Tayssir HAMIEH ^{1,2} , Karim ALLAF ³ .
5 6	1. l l	ebanese University, Doctoral School of Science and Technology, Hadath, Beirut, ebanon ² ; amal.zeaiter@etudiant.univ-lr.fr; amirahaddara@hotmail.com.
7 8 9	2. l I	ebanese University, Faculty of Sciences, Laboratory of Materials, Catalysis, Environment and Analytical Methods (MCEMA), Hadath, Beirut, Lebanon; rayssir.hamieh@ul.edu.lb.
10 11	3. l	a Rochelle University, Intensification of Transfer Phenomena on Industrial Eco-
12 13	-	7356, 17042 La Rochelle, France; Phone: +33685816912, email: kallaf@univ-lr.fr; colette.besombes@univ-lr.fr.
14	Abbr	eviation list:
15	DIC:	Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop
16	CCD:	Central Composite Design
17	RSM:	Response Surface Methodology.
18	К:	Matrix permeability coefficient (m ²)
19	ν:	Kinematic viscosity (m ² s ⁻¹)
20	A:	Flux surface (m ²).
21	Pt:	Total pressure.
22	HTST:	High Temperature-Short Time
23	DoE:	Design of Experiments
24	Y:	Response
25	β_i , β_{ii} , and	β _{ij} : Regression coefficients
26	X _i :	Independent variables
27	ε:	Random error
28	i and j:	Factor indices.
29	HPLC:	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
30	RIE:	Ratio of Improvement of oil Extraction
31	Ypress:	Cold-press oil extraction yield (g Oil/g ddb).
32	Y _{cake} :	Residual cake oil (g Oil/g ddb).
33	Ywhole seeds:	Total sunflower seed yield (g Oil/g ddb).
34	ddb:	dry dry basis (mass basis of raw material devoid of water and oil).
35	db:	dry basis (mass basis of raw material devoid of water).
36	P:	Saturated dry steam pressure.
37	AOAC:	AOAC International (Standards of Association of Official Analytical Chemists).
38	t:	Heat treatment time.
39	R ² :	Regression coefficient.
40	RM:	Raw Material.
41	TMH:	2,3,5-trimethylhydroquinone.

42 Abstract

43 Sunflower oil industry is interested in both increasing yield and preserving quality of the 44 extracted oil. The current work aimed to investigate the effect of texturing by instant 45 controlled pressure-drop (DIC) on oil cold-press extraction. DIC texturing consists of heating 46 at saturated dry-steam pressure P (200-700 kPa or 110-160 °C), for a short processing time t, 47 followed by an instantaneous pressure-drop (20-40 ms) towards a vacuum (3-5 kPa). This 48 resulted in increasing oil pressing-yield up to 46% and 33%, for linoleic and oleic varieties, 49 respectively. It also increased the whole-oil availability by 11%, with a conservation of the 50 biochemical composition of both oil and residual cake. A multi-criteria optimization of the 51 DIC operating parameters; P and t, was performed through a 5-level Central Composite 52 Design (CCD) and statistical analyses. This highlighted the direct significant effect of t on the 53 oil yield while greatly preserving the quality of the final products.

54 **Keywords**: Sunflower Seeds; Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop (DIC); Cold-Press Extraction; 55 oil quality; Central Composite Design (CCD), Response Surface Methodology (RSM).

56 1. Introduction

57 Sunflower is a famous oleaginous plant widespread on all continents due to its hardiness and 58 low requirements for both inputs and water (Castro and Leite, 2018). Worldwide, its 59 cultivation is one of the largest in the world in Americas (Canada, Brazil, Argentina, 60 USA)(Heiser, 2008), Asia (China, India, Indonesia) and Europe in particular in France 61 (Gunstone, 2009). The sunflower seed consists of a shell and a kernel. The shell represents 62 70-90% of the dry matter formed from lignin, hemicellulose, cellulose fiber and a small 63 amount of lipids and proteins (Connor and Hall, 1997), while the kernel, with about 20% of 64 the dry matter of the seed, is the storage place of reserve for embryonic development 65 (Roche et al., 2004). Its lipid content is close to 44%, having a distribution of the main 66 saturated fatty acids: palmitic acid (C16: 0) of 5%-7%, stearic acid (C18: 0) of <10%, and 67 unsaturated: oleic acid (C18: 1) of 17%-20% and linoleic acid (C18: 2) of 50%-70% (Ayerdi 68 Gotor et al., 2016).

69 Diversification of sunflower varieties results in differences in the levels of linoleic and oleic 70 fatty acids. It generally meets the technological needs and requirements of the food and 71 non-food industries. For the food sector, sunflower has beneficial effects on human health. 72 It is a source of vitamin E, a dietary ingredient well-known for its anti-cholesterol properties 73 (Pelletier et al., 1995). It is also used in margarine (Moreau et al., 2002), canning, salad 74 dressings, table oil, and infant formula. Moreover, in the non-food sector, oleic sunflower oil 75 is valued because of its content of fatty acids as well as the presence of minor compounds 76 favorable to the manufacturing of pharmaceutical or cosmetic products, lubricants (Al 77 Mahmud et al., 2013), cleaning products, solvents in the paintings, etc. It can be used for the 78 production of both edible products and biofuel for diesel engines as a result of esterification 79 often with the methyl ester (Rashid et al., 2008) (Del Gatto et al., 2015), (Ayerdi-Gotor et al., 80 200811-12).

As an edible product, and because of the negative health effects of solvent traces, it is preferable that the industrial extraction of sunflower oil is obtained by pressing. However, press-cake would generally have a high residual oil content of 15 to 20%, which is too high for livestock feed or as a biomaterial (Isobe et al., 1992). This point also has a significant economic impact due to the price difference between the oil and the residual solid cake. Since the consumer demands to avoid the use of solvent extraction, an increase in the extraction efficiency of the press becomes an essential objective in improving the performance of the industrial process.

From fundamental and phenomenological point of view (Bouallegue et al., 2015), pressextraction is based on the gradient of total-pressure as driving force. This similar Darcysystem can be presented as following:

$$\dot{m}_{oil} = -\frac{K}{\nu} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{grad}(P_t) \qquad \qquad Eq. 1$$

Where K is the oil-matrix permeability coefficient expressed in m^2 , v the kinematic viscosity 92 expressed in m² s⁻¹, A the normal oil-flux surface expressed in m², and Pt the total pressure. A 93 94 hydrostatic liquid behavior of the material, through for example high water content, induces 95 a more homogeneous total pressure and, then, a too weak gradient of total pressure. Hence, 96 the use of dried seeds is an inexorable condition in press-extraction operation (Bouallegue et 97 al., 2016). Therefore, to increase the pressed oil yield, one has to spread the pressure 98 gradient, reduce both viscosity and product thickness. In addition, heating is well known to 99 be capable of inducing increased yields through some thermal degradation of the cell walls. 100 However, this operation inevitably induces a certain degradation of the main molecules and 101 ingredients, many users recommend not to insert it. Hence, the objective of increasing the 102 oil extraction yield must be coalesced with a perfect preservation of quality, and specialists 103 advise against the use of heating as pretreatment and opt for a cold-press method to 104 preserve the quality of both extracted oil and cake (Boutin and Badens, 2009).

105 A specific intensification of the process can be obtained by texturing by Instant Controlled 106 Pressure-Drop (DIC), which normally increases the value of the initial permeability 107 coefficient through higher porosity and better tortuosity of the matrix. Moreover, DIC 108 texturing may also result in breaking the cell-walls, thus allowing the oil availability to be 109 higher. However, once the consolidation stage of pressing is reached, porosity disappears 110 and only some tortuosity effect and, much more, the oil availability issued from broken cell-111 walls persist. However, the DIC technique proposed for texturing sunflower seeds may 112 possibly affect the functional properties of sunflower seeds, while probably preserving the 113 quality of the oil extracted and the residual solid cake (Van, 2010).

114 Indeed, the challenge of using instant pressure-controlled DIC coupled with cold press to 115 well extract the sunflower seed oil must is worth to be studied to obtain the benefits of 116 increasing oil yields and preserving the high quality of the oil (Ixtaina *et al.*, 2011), (Cường, 117 2013). Thus, the oil from DIC-assisted cold pressing extraction must be analyzed to prove 118 that it has the same quality as conventional cold-pressed oil, in terms of biochemical 119 composition, sensory characteristics, and color. The oil must meet to all international oil 120 standards.

121 2. Materials and methods

122 **2.1.** Raw materials and chemicals

123 The sunflower seeds were supplied by the company "Presse de Gascogne" in Cologne 124 (France). This company specializes in the field of organic French-origin sunflower food oil. Two varieties of sunflower: linoleic and oleic types were used. They were transported to the laboratory and well packaged, and stored at room temperature. The initial moisture content dry basis (db) (W_i) was about 0.07 \pm 0.005 g H₂O/g db for the linoleic sample and 0.09 \pm 0.005 g H₂O/g db for the oleic sample. Our study on cold-pressing extraction has concerned the whole sunflower seeds, thus maintaining their hulls.

130 The chemicals such as 99.99% purity n-hexane solvent used for oil extraction were provided 131 by the University of La Rochelle-France. While for fatty acid analysis, 2,3,5-132 trimethylhydroquinone (*TMH*) and iso-octane used to prepare the stock solution for the 133 standards: α , β , and γ -Tocopherols for the calibration range of tocopherol analysis with 134 acetone as solvent, were provided by the Institut Polytechnique UniLasalle Beauvais-135 (France), and kept at -40°C for chromatography analyses.

136 **2.2. Treatment process and Experimental Protocol**

137 The treatment protocol of oil press-extraction process was achieved at laboratory-scale 138 (Figure 1). In the first part of our experimental study, sunflower seeds were subjected to an 139 instant controlled pressure-drop (DIC) treatment aiming at greatly intensifying oil cold press-140 extraction.

141

Figure 1.

142 Some part of the experiments and assessments required a laboratory-scale n-hexane solvent 143 extraction. Following the DIC texturing treatment and prior to the properly-said pressing, a 144 drying step was performed. The sunflower seed samples underwent an airflow drying at 40 145 °C and 1 m/s, until reaching a final moisture content of 0.060 ± 0.005 g H₂O/g db. This level is 146 well-known as the most appropriate moisture content for the cold press-extraction. For an 147 assessment requiring a solvent extraction process, the seeds were ground using a laboratory 148 knife mill (RETSCH, Grindomix model GM 200-F; Kurt Retsch GmbH & Co. KG, Haan, 149 Germany) at 8000 rpm for 40 seconds.

150 **2.2.1. DIC treatment**

151 The DIC unit was presented in various articles (Figure 2) (Allaf and Allaf, 2014; Sabah Mounir 152 et al., 2011; and Albitar et al., 2011). The research works carried out on instant controlled 153 pressure-drop technology (DIC) at both fundamental levels and industrial applications have 154 the specificity of a theoretical foundation based on the thermodynamics of instantaneity 155 (Allaf et al., 2014): far from the equilibrium, processes occur between the two-asymptotic 156 behaviors of quasi-static (classical thermodynamics) and instantaneous limits. Processes and 157 transformations occurring in a theoretically zero time (transport of molecules in dozens of 158 milliseconds), generally imply a decrease in the fluctuation degree of the random 159 translational movement of the particles, at the same time an equally instantaneous decrease 160 of the temperature. The relaxation time (Δt of dozens of ms) is an essential parameter in the considered processes; it implies a very high pressure-drop rate $\Delta P/\Delta t$ often greater than 5 161 162 10⁵ Pa s⁻¹. The cooling rate can reach exceptional levels of 1500 to 2000 kW/m² of the 163 product (Allaf et al., 2018b).

164

Figure 2.

DIC has been inserted as relevant process or effective intensification mean for many unit operations of decontamination, drying, extraction, chemical or enzymatic reactive transformations, etc. (Allaf et al., 2014) (Sabah Mounir et al., 2011) (Mounir et al., 2012) 168 (Berka-Zougali et al., 2010) (Albitar et al., 2011). Practical treatment with DIC involves a vacuum stage of 5 kPa, followed by a HTST (High Temperature-Short Time) type process 169 generally using saturated dry steam absolute pressure (usually ranged between 0.05 and 1 170 171 MPa), i.e. temperature of 60 °C to 170 °C (Besombes et al., 2010). The short treatment time 172 (some dozens of seconds) includes a steam injection step (lasting less than 3 s) involving vapor condensation on the product surface (moisture content may increase by ΔW of almost 173 0.1 g H₂O/g db, resulting in soaring heating coefficient up to tens of kW m⁻² K⁻¹), followed by 174 175 a homogenization step of both thermal and condensed water within the product. 176 Succeeding this roughly balance step, the pressure abruptly drops>> towards the vacuum 177 (steam tank maintained at 3 to 5 kPa) (Allaf and Allaf, 2013).

178 Instantaneous-type of coupled thermomechanical transformations of DIC is: i/ an 179 autovaporization of a certain quantity of volatile molecules, mainly water to reach the new 180 thermodynamic equilibrium; (the moisture content undergoes a decrease ΔW of 181 approximately -0.11 g H₂O/g db), ii/ mechanical stresses within the material resulting in a 182 new structure and texture, iii/ a cooling of the material possibly involving an overshoot 183 beyond of the glass transition. Thus, the new often expanded structure of the matrix is 184 preserved (Haddad et al., 2008), and iv/ while preserving a perfect stop of the thermal 185 degradation.

Following a few preliminary tests, two operating parameters of DIC treatment of sunflower seeds, namely the dry saturated steam absolute pressure (P) ranged between 0.2 and 0.7 MPa, and the treatment time (t) ranged between 15 and 85 s were selected. DIC-texturing begins by introducing into the treatment vessel a quantity of 150 - 200 g of sunflower seeds at 7 \pm 0.2% db (dry basis) water content. An initial vacuum makes it possible to greatly reduce the diffusion resistance between the exchange surface and the steam, thus inducing an improvement of the heat transfer.

193 Hence, after closing the pneumatic valve, the high-pressure steam is injected to reach the 194 desired pressure level (and temperature). It is maintained throughout the treatment until 195 reaching a level of thermal homogeneity and humidity within the material. An abrupt 196 pressure-drop towards the vacuum results in an autovaporization of the water, an 197 instantaneous cooling of the solid material and a possible more or less marked expansion of 198 the product (Mounir et al., 2011). DIC-textured oleaginous seeds have better technological 199 aptitude regarding cold-press extraction with higher oil yield. In order to evaluate and 200 analyze the biochemical composition, DIC-textured seeds are often crushed before an 201 extraction operation with n-hexane.

202 2.2.2. Extraction process: Mechanical cold-press extraction

The present research aims to study the variation of the yield of sunflower oil from the two oleic and linoleic varieties and to compare the results obtained from the treated and untreated matrices. The industrial procedure for extracting sunflower oil is based on the cold-mechanical way (press, cavitator, centrifuge systems...).

At this level, the extraction of oils is based on the mechanical action of press compression.
The pressing operation allows the oleaginous seed to liberate the oily liquid contained in the
kernel cells and separate it from the residual solid called "cake".

In our laboratory-scale study, the cold-pressing device is based on single-screw extrusion
 which operates according to a continuous process (p500R, Anton Fries Mashinenbau GmbH,

212 Germany). It operates at a frequency of 50 Hz, a voltage of 400 V and a power of 1.5 kW. 213 This device comprises a stainless-steel cylindrical hopper of 35 mm diameter. In the feeding 214 zone, the seeds are pressed and freely transported under the effect of the mono-screw 215 towards the bottom of a fixed tube to create a high-pressure cold-compression. This fixed 216 tube contains holes along its length through which the oil is recovered at the bottom of the 217 press by a flow through calibrated 8 mm hole called die or nozzle, positioned at cylinder end 218 to remove the cakes in granular forms. This separation is done in an area called the filtration 219 zone.

Following filtration, the extracted oil was measured while the cake was stored in poly-bag at a temperature of 4 °C. The oil yield is expressed in g oil/g ddb (dry dry matter, which means a mass basis of raw material rid of water and oil) with an error estimated at 0.05%. Coldpress oil extraction was successively performed on the raw-material (untreated) and DICtextured sunflower seeds after adjusting the moisture content of each sample to 0.06 \pm 0.005 g H₂O/g db.

$$Y_{huile}(g \ oil/g \ ddb) = \frac{oil \ mass}{dry \ dry \ seed \ mass \ (ddb)} \qquad Eq. 2$$

226 **2.2.3. Design of Experiments DoE**

227 2.2.3.1. Experimental Protocol

228 From literature and previous experience of our research team, succeeding few preliminary 229 tests, a Design of Experiments DoE was defined. In the current case, since our objective was 230 not a simple approach of operational trends, but especially to carry out a relevant 231 optimization study of the main operating parameters of the new industrial treatment DIC 232 operation, we opted for a 5-level 2-parameter Box-Wilson Central Composite Design (CCD). 233 For DIC-texturing, the two operating parameters of the absolute value of saturated dry 234 steam pressure (P) and the treatment time (t) were ranged from 0.2 to 0.7 MPa, and from 15 235 to 85 s, respectively.

236 The experimental responses were statistically processed using Statgraphics plus software 237 (Statgraphics Centurion XV, StatPoint Technologies, Inc., Rockville, USA). This Response 238 Surface Methodology (RSM) allows highlighting for each dependent variable (Y) the 239 significance level of the DIC factors as independent variables x_i (P and t) through ANOVA, p-240 value ($P \le 0.05$), and Pareto Charts, as well as the general trends, response surfaces, and iso-241 response curves, issued from the empirical second-order polynomial model Y versus the 242 independent variables x_i, and R² whose value reveals the degree of validity of this empirical 243 model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \chi_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{ii} \chi_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=2}^k \beta_{ij} \chi_j \chi_j + \mathcal{E}$$
 Eq. 3

244 Where *Y* is the response, β_i , β_{ii} , and β_{ij} are the regression coefficients, x_i the independent 245 variables, ε is a random error, i and j are the factor indices.

246 **2.3.** Assessment methods and processes

247 2.3.1. Measurement of moisture content

The water content was determined according to the AOAC method (2005) at 105 °C for 24 hours until a constant weight is obtained.

250 2.3.2. Oil extraction by Randall

251 Usually, solvent extraction of both whole seed and residual cake (before and after the cold-252 press extraction, respectively), is carried out as an initial assessment stage to measure the 253 composition of plant-base materials. Frequently, oil solvent extraction system used is 254 "Randall's SER 148/3 model equipment from Velp Scientifica" (Italy). This is a closed loop 255 cycle of oil solvent extraction procedure; i/ starting by bringing to boil solvent in container 256 using a hot plate, ii/ following by solvent vapor condensation through an adequate cooling 257 system, in the same container to wash the product placed in porous cartridge in this 258 container (reflux washing where the solvent regenerated by condensation, flows and 259 washes the sample contained in the cartridge), iv/ ending by a "recovery phase" during 260 which the majority of the solvent is removed and the extracted oil remains in the containers 261 with traces of solvent. Finally, under Sorbonne, the contents are deposited in Pyrex tubes to 262 evaporate and remove the remainder traces of solvent from the evaporator.

263 In the present case of sunflower seeds, various differently processed, un-textured and DIC-264 textured seeds, and cakes were studied. Before starting the properly said operation, Velp 265 148/3 parameters were checked out and corrected for compatibility. In the current case, 266 since the solvent used was n-hexane, and the plate temperature was 180 °C, Viton seals 267 were used. A sample of 5 to 7 g of crushed sunflower was placed in the porous cartridge to 268 receive, by washing, almost 40 ml n-hexane. This operation was carried out in 6 h. For 269 removing the remainder traces of n-hexane solvent from the evaporator, the cartridge 270 contents were deposited in 18 ml Pyrex tubes and put under Sorbonne with airflow heated 271 at 65 °C for 1 h. This extraction operation is faster, ampler, and more effective than a simple 272 maceration extraction. The extracts were weighed using an analytical high-precision balance 273 (with an accuracy of 0.001 g) and then stored at -4 °C for biochemical analyses. Oil yields 274 were calculated with an estimated error of ± 0.0005 g oil/g ddb.

275 **2.3.3. Determination of the free fatty acids**

Fatty acid separation was performed using the Agilent 19091S-43 GC Gas Chromatography method (Kyoto, Japan). It is a qualitative and quantitative analysis method that serves to separate a very complex mixture of gaseous samples.

The chromatography device comprised a HP-5MS (5% Phenyl Methyl Siloxane) capillary type column (30 m × 350 μ m × 0.25 μ m), a furnace with initial temperature ranged from 155 to 230 °C with a speed of 45 °C/min. The final phase reached a maximum level of 240 °C and the oven run time was 10 min for each sample.

The protocol of the fatty acid analysis was to consider for each sample 15 µl of sunflower oil mix with 20 µl of the TMH, and 800 µl of the isooctane and, after stirring for a few seconds in a vortex, it was ready for analysis. A volume 0.8 µl of the assembly was eluted and injected using a syringe in split mode and the temperature of the injector was maintained at 270 °C. Once vaporized by the injector, the compounds were entrained in the column by hydrogen as carrier gas. Peak integration and identification of fatty acids were based on the use of the
 mass spectral MS database of the NIST'98 National Library (National Institute of Standards
 and Technology of the United States (NIST), Gaithersburg, MD, USA).

291 **2.3.4.** Determination of the protein content

The determination of the protein content was based on the classical DUMAS method carried out by a nitrogen/protein analyzer LECO FP-528, which consists of a total combustion of 100 mg of homogeneous crushed dried sunflower seeds (24-h oven at 110°C). A double repetition was required for analysis for each sample.

DUMAS method, which is performed under oxygen and at high temperature does not directly measure the protein content. This allows quantification of the total nitrogen that is expressed as a percentage and using an internationally recognized conversion factor of 6.25 which differs from protein to protein depending on its amino acid composition, equivalent to 0.16g of nitrogen per gram of protein is required to convert the measured nitrogen content to a protein content. It's an easy and fast analysis.

302 2.3.5. Determination of tocopherol content

303 The determination of the tocopherol content of the sunflower oils was performed using High 304 Performance Liquid Chromatography HPLC (SpectraPhysics, Thermo Separation Products, 305 USA) in 40 min. The multi- λ French standard measurement ISO 9936, 1997 implies a 306 complete separation of the 4 forms of tocopherol α , β , γ , and δ using a fluorescence 307 detector with 298 nm as excitation wavelength, and 344 nm as emission wavelength 308 (Velasco and Dobarganes, 2002).

309 The protocol of the tocopherol analysis was to consider a sample of 1 g of sunflower oil, and 310 add 1 ml of acetone in a Vial; then the whole was vortexed a few seconds and directly 311 injected into the HPLC system for analysis. The sum of the concentrations α -, β -, γ -, and δ -312 tocopherol is used to determine the total tocopherol expressed in $\mu g/g$ oil.

In the current case, the mobile phase was composed of a mixture (75:25 v/v) of acetone and methanol with a flow rate of 1 cm³/min, provided by the HPLC Delta Chrom SDS 030 pump. The tocopherols were separated by the reversed phase C18 column at 30°C, 250 mm * 4.6 mm, and 5 μ m as particle size (Macherey-Nagel Ltd., Düren, Germany). Quantification of the results was evaluated and integrated by comparison with the retention time collected with those of the respective standard surfaces using the chromatographic station software CSW 32 (Data Apex Ltd., Prague, Czech Republic).

320 3. Results and Discussions

321 **3.1. Effect of DIC-texturing on cold-press extraction**

In the current study, since the yields are expressed in terms of g Oil/g ddb (dry dry basis), we estimated the cold-press extraction yield by subtracting the oil content of the residual cake oil content Y_{cake} from the initial sunflower seed $Y_{whole-seed}$, both obtained by performing solvent extraction (n-hexane) using the Randall velp-148 for 6 h:

$$Y_{press} = Y_{whole-seeds} - Y_{cake}$$
 Eq. 4

To better recognize the comparative aspect of DIC processing in terms of yield enhancement, we used the ratio of improvement of oil extraction (RIE) expressed as a percentage, between DIC-textured and raw-material seeds:

$$RIE = \frac{Y_{randall;DIC}}{Y_{randall;RM}}$$
 Eq. 5

329

330 Table 1 and Figure 3 show the impact of DIC-texturing under different treatment conditions 331 on cold-press oil yields expressed in (g oil/g ddb dry dry basis) of linoleic and oleic sunflower 332 seeds. Thus, the whole DIC-textured linoleic seeds had an increased oil content Ywhole-seeds 333 from 0.6519 g oil/g ddb for raw non-textured seeds up to 0.7208 g oil/g ddb, which means 334 RIE of 111% RIE. Similar behavior was observed for oleic seeds; the increasing of the oil 335 content of DIC-textured seeds was from 0.6639 g oil/g ddb for the raw non-textured seeds 336 up to 0.7363 g oil/g ddb (or RIE=111%) of the whole DIC-textured oleic seeds. This should be 337 due to an increasing of availability resulting from the higher porosity and possibly the broken 338 cell walls by DIC-texturing triggered on the matrix.

Figure 3.

Table 1.

339

340 For both technologic and economic reasons, industries require reaching the highest coldpress extraction yields Y_{press}. DIC-texturing at 0.2 MPa for 50 s resulted in dramatically 341 342 increasing of the cold-press yield of linoleic of 146% RIE, which means 0.3462 against 343 0.5060 g oil/g ddb for non-treated seeds. Similar results were obtained for oleic seeds DIC-344 treated at 0.5 MPa for 50 s, with RIE=132% and cold press yield of 0.5206 against 0.3950 345 g oil/g ddb for non-treated seeds. It is worth highlighting that DIC-texturing pretreatment 346 allows increasing the cold press yields respect to the whole seed yields by about 20%, from 347 53.11% to 73.56% for linoleic variety and 12%, from 59.50% to 71.64%, for oleic variety 348 (Table 1).

Moreover, the effects of operating parameters of DIC treatment such as saturated vapor pressure (P) and heat treatment time (t) on the yields of oil, and the contents in the cake and in the whole seeds (**Y**_{press}; **Y**_{cake}; **Y**_{whole-seeds}) were statistically analyzed by the statgraphic software (Figure 3).

353

Table 2.

354

-9-

Table 3.

355 It is worth noticing that the standardized Pareto Chart (Figure 3.A) shows a significant effect 356 of saturated dry steam pressure (P) and treatment time (t) on the cold-press oil yield Y_{press}, 357 residual oil content Y_{cake}, and oil content in whole seeds Y_{whole-seeds} with p value of 0.05. The 358 **mean absolute error** (MAE) is computed as the sum of the squared error values and is the 359 most commonly used **lack-of-fit** indicator in statistical fitting procedures.

Thus, the empirical second-order regression models of Y_{press} , Y_{cake} , and $Y_{whole-seeds}$ versus the DIC processing parameters were computed. For linoleic variety, they had regression coefficients R² of 83.66%, 87.78%, and 90.62%, respectively, and MAE of 0.01395, 0.01313, and 0.00308, respectively (Table2). Similar study was performed in oil extraction from the oleic variety. They had regression coefficients R² of 69.62%, 67.02% and 91.14%, respectively, and the MAE were 0.03575, 0.03692, and 0.00395, respectively (Table 3).

366 3.2. Impact of DIC on the quality of the final sunflower seed cake

367 Despite the great positive incidence of DIC-texturing on technological aptitudes of cold-oil 368 pressing, it was noteworthy to study the impact of such DIC-texturing on the quality of both extracted oil and cake of sunflower. The specificity of great enhancement of oil yields by DIC-369 370 texturing would not be so interesting without the perfect preservation of the main 371 compounds and active molecules of sunflower seeds. Indeed, the conventional heating of 372 oilseeds often leads to an increase in oil yields, but it also causes a great deterioration in the 373 quality of both extracted oil and cake. As HTST (high temperature/short time) treatment, DIC 374 often allows the concerned material to preserve its quality. Therefore, it was essential to 375 carry out a detailed study of the impact of DIC-texturing on the composition of cake in 376 proteins, and the oil in fatty acids and the availability of tocopherol.

377 3.2.1. Evolution of protein content of the sunflower whole seeds and press-cake vs DIC 378 treatment conditions.

- 379 Table 4.
- 380 Figure 4.

The analyses of the total proteins were performed by the classical DUMAS method in the cases of the whole sunflower seeds and cakes at different conditions of DIC treatments, in comparison with the untreated seeds for both linoleic and oleic varieties.

Table 4 illustrates the results of this study and shows that the total protein content had no (or weak) change between the DIC treated and untreated samples; Figure 4 expresses a significantly absence of any effect.

387 **3.2.2.** Evolution of fatty acid contents of sunflower oil versus DIC treatment conditions

The composition on saturated and unsaturated fatty acids of the whole sunflower seeds and meal, mainly includes palmitic acid (C16:0), stearic acid (C18:0), oleic acid (C18:1), and linoleic acid (C18:2) of the two linoleic and oleic varieties are presented in Table 5.

The GC (Gas Chromatography) analyses proves that, whatever the processing conditions were, DIC texturing triggered no significant change in the fatty acid composition compared to the non-textured (RM) seeds. On the other hand, this observation was confirmed by the statistical analyses through Pareto Charts which reveal the analysis of the variance (ANOVA). Figure 5 highlights that, whatever the fatty acid compounds were, there was a significantly no effect for both whole seeds and cake of the two linoleic and oleic varieties.

- 397 Table 5.
- 398

399 **3.2.3.** Tocopherol content of sunflower oil versus DIC treatment conditions

400 Table 6 presents the contents of total tocopherol and its forms (β , γ and α) of sunflower 401 seed oils of the two linoleic and oleic varieties. These values were assessed by HPLC high 402 performance liquid chromatography, for differently DIC textured and non-textured (RM) 403 processing conditions.

Figure 5.

The weak variation in tocopherol contents in both seed oil and cake, indicates that there wasno change between the DIC-textured and non-textured samples. Moreover, the statistical

analyses represented by Pareto Charts show a significantly no effect of the DIC parameters
of the two linoleic and oleic varieties. This confirms that there was no degradation of the
tocopherol contents (Figure 6).

Table 6.

Figure 6.

409 410

411 **4.** Conclusion

412 The great interest of industry manufacturing the sunflower oil in increasing the yields while 413 preserving the quality of both oil and cake, as well as defining a new deodorization process 414 was the basis of our investigation on the effect of texturing by instant controlled pressure-415 drop (DIC) on the press extraction of sunflower oil, respectively. DIC-texturing has allowed 416 great impact on cold-press oil yields, reaching an increasing press-oil yields by about 46% 417 and 32%, for linoleic and oleic varieties, respectively. Since DIC is a HTST (High 418 Temperature/Short Time) operation, it normally results in the conservation of the 419 biochemical composition of the material and a total preservation of the biochemical 420 composition of both final products; oil and cake. The multi-criteria optimization of the DIC 421 operating parameters, namely the saturated dry steam pressure (P) and the processing time 422 (t), using a 5-level Central Composite Design (CCD) of Experimental Design (DoE) and 423 prominently statistical analyses of the experimental results, highlighted the direct significant 424 effect of the operating parameters P and t on the DIC performance. The highest yield of 425 cold-press oil extraction has corresponded to 50 s of treatment time, with 0.2 and 0.5 MPa 426 as saturated dry steam pressure, for linoleic and oleic varieties, respectively.

427 Thus, the Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC texturation as a means of possible 428 modification of the raw material structure appears getting great impact on increasing yields 429 of the cold-press extraction of oil, on preserving the quality of both oil and residual cake 430 with no change between the DIC textured and non-textured samples.

431 **5. Reference**

- Al Mahmud, K.A.H., Zulkifli, N.W.M., Masjuki, H.H., Varman, M., Kalam, M.A., Mobarak,
 H.M., Imran, A., Shahir, S.A., 2013. Working Temperature Effect of A-C: H/A-C: H and
 Steel/Steel Contacts on Tribo Properties in Presence of Sunflower Oil as a Bio
 Lubricant. Procedia Eng., INTERNATIONAL TRIBOLOGY CONFERENCE MALAYSIA 2013
 68, 550–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2013.12.220
- Albitar, N., Mounir, S., Besombes, C., Allaf, K., 2011. Improving the Drying of Onion Using the
 Instant Controlled Pressure Drop Technology. Dry. Technol. 29, 993–1001.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/07373937.2010.507912
- Allaf, T., Allaf, K., 2013. Instant Controlled Pressure Drop (D.I.C.) in Food Processing: From
 Fundamental to Industrial Applications. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Allaf, T., Fine, F., Tomao, V., Nguyen, C., Ginies, C., Chemat, F., 2014. Impact of instant
 controlled pressure drop pre-treatment on solvent extraction of edible oil from
 rapeseed seeds. OCL 21, A301. https://doi.org/10.1051/ocl/2014002

- Allaf, T., Tomao, V., Ruiz, K., Bachari, K., El Maataoui, M., Chemat, F., 2018a. Deodorization
 by instant controlled pressure drop autovaporization of rosemary leaves prior to
 solvent extraction of antioxidants. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 51, 51.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.11.07
- Allaf, T., Tomao, V., Ruiz, K., Chemat, F., 2018b. Instant controlled pressure drop technology
 and ultrasound assisted extraction for sequential extraction of essential oil and
 antioxidants. Ultrason. Sonochem. 20, 20.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2012.05.013
- 453 Ayerdi Gotor, A., Berger, M., Labalette, F., Centis, S., Daydé, J., Calmon, A., 2016.
 454 Comparative analysis of fatty acids, tocopherols and phytosterols content in
 455 sunflower cultivars (Helianthus annuus) from a three-year multi-local study. Phyton
 456 Int. J. Exp. Bot. 84, 14–25.
- 457 Ayerdi-Gotor, A., Berger, M., Labalette, F., Centis, S., Eychenne, V., Daydé, J., Calmon, A.,
 458 200811-12. Variabilité des teneurs et compositions des composés mineurs dans
 459 l'huile de tournesol au cours du développement du capitule. Oleacuteagineux Corps
 460 Gras Lipides 400–406. https://doi.org/10.1684/ocl.2008.0227
- Berka-Zougali, B., Hassani, A., Besombes, C., Allaf, K., 2010. Extraction of essential oils from
 Algerian myrtle leaves using instant controlled pressure drop technology. J.
 Chromatogr. A 1217, 6134–6142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.07.080
- Besombes, C., Berka-Zougali, B., Allaf, K., 2010. Instant controlled pressure drop extraction
 of lavandin essential oils: Fundamentals and experimental studies. J. Chromatogr. A
 1217, 6807–6815. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.08.050
- 467 Bouallegue, K., Allaf, T., Besombes, C., Younes, R.B., Allaf, K., 2015. Phenomenological modeling and intensification of texturing/grinding-assisted solvent oil extraction: 468 469 case of date seeds (Phoenix dactylifera L.). Arab. J. Chem. 470 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2015.03.014
- Bouallegue, K., Allaf, T., Younes, R.B., Allaf, K., 2016. Texturing and Instant Cooling of
 Rapeseed as Pretreatment Prior to Pressing and Solvent Extraction of Oil. Food
 Bioprocess Technol. 9, 1521–1534. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-016-1734-x
- 474 Boutin, O., Badens, E., 2009. Extraction from oleaginous seeds using supercritical CO2:
 475 Experimental design and products quality. J. Food Eng. 4, 396–402.
 476 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.12.007
- 477 Carasek, E., Pawliszyn, J., 2006. Screening of Tropical Fruit Volatile Compounds Using Solid 478 Phase Microextraction (SPME) Fibers and Internally Cooled SPME Fiber. J. Agric. Food
 479 Chem. 54, 8688–8696. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0613942
- Castro, C., Leite, R.M.V.B.C., 2018. Main aspects of sunflower production in Brazil. OCL 25,
 D104. https://doi.org/10.1051/ocl/2017056
- 482 Connor, D.J., Hall, A.J., 1997. Sunflower Physiology. Sunflower Technol. Prod. 483 agronomymonogra, 113–182. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronmonogr35.c4

484 Cường N.V., 2013. IMPACT OF TEXTURING BY INSTANT CONTROLLED PRESSURE DROP (DIC) 485 ON SOLVENT EXTRACTION OF JATROPHA CURCAS OIL. Hue Univ. J. Sci. HU JOS 69.

- Del Gatto, A., Melilli, M.G., Raccuia, S.A., Pieri, S., Mangoni, L., Pacifico, D., Signor, M., Duca,
 D., Foppa Pedretti, E., Mengarelli, C., 2015. A comparative study of oilseed crops
 (Brassica napus L. subsp. oleifera and Brassica carinata A. Braun) in the biodiesel
 production chain and their adaptability to different Italian areas. Ind. Crops Prod.,
 Valorization of biodiesel chain co-products in a biorefinery framework 75, 98–107.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.04.029
- 492 Gunstone, F., 2009. The Chemistry of Oils and Fats: Sources, Composition, Properties and493 Uses. John Wiley & Sons.
- Haddad, M.A., Mounir, S., Sobolik, V., Allaf, K., 2008. Fruits & Vegetables Drying Combining
 Hot Air, DIC Technology and Microwaves. Int. J. Food Eng. 4.
 https://doi.org/10.2202/1556-3758.1491
- Heiser, C.B., 2008. The sunflower (<Emphasis Type="Italic">Helianthus annuus</Emphasis>)
 in Mexico: further evidence for a North American domestication. Genet. Resour. Crop
 Evol. 55, 9–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-007-9300-z
- Isobe, S., Zuber, F., Uemura, K., Noguchi, A., 1992. A new twin-screw press design for oil
 extraction of dehulled sunflower seeds. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 69, 884–889.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02636338
- Ixtaina, V.Y., Martínez, M.L., Spotorno, V., Mateo, C.M., Maestri, D.M., Diehl, B.W.K.,
 Nolasco, S.M., Tomás, M.C., 2011. Characterization of chia seed oils obtained by
 pressing and solvent extraction. J. Food Compos. Anal. 24, 166–174.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2010.08.006
- 507 Kruidenberg, M., 2009. Method for processing vegetable oils. US7598407B2.
- Leão, K.M.M., Sampaio, K.L., Pagani, A.A.C., Da Silva, M.A.A.P., 2014. Odor potency, aroma
 profile and volatiles composition of cold pressed oil from industrial passion fruit
 residues. Ind. Crops Prod. 58, 280–286.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.04.032
- Moreau, R.A., Whitaker, B.D., Hicks, K.B., 2002. Phytosterols, phytostanols, and their
 conjugates in foods: structural diversity, quantitative analysis, and health-promoting
 uses. Prog. Lipid Res. 41, 457–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0163-7827(02)00006-1
- Mounir, S., Allaf, T., Mujumdar, A.S., Allaf, K., 2012. Swell Drying: Coupling Instant Controlled
 Pressure Drop DIC to Standard Convection Drying Processes to Intensify Transfer
 Phenomena and Improve Quality—An Overview. Dry. Technol. 30, 1508–1531.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/07373937.2012.693145
- 519Mounir, S., Besombes, C., Al-Bitar, N., Allaf, K., 2011. Study of Instant Controlled Pressure520Drop DIC Treatment in Manufacturing Snack and Expanded Granule Powder of Apple521andOnion.522Dry.Technol.523https://doi.org/10.1080/07373937.2010.491585
- Mounir, Sabah, Halle, D., Allaf, K., 2011. Characterization of pure cheese snacks and
 expanded granule powders textured by the instant controlled pressure drop (DIC)
 process. Dairy Sci. Technol. 91, 441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13594-011-0023-8

- Pal, U.S., Patra, R.K., Sahoo, N.R., Bakhara, C.K., Panda, M.K., 2015. Effect of refining on
 quality and composition of sunflower oil. J. Food Sci. Technol. 52, 4613–4618.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-014-1461-0
- Pelletier, X., Belbraouet, S., Mirabel, D., Mordret, F., Perrin, J.L., Pages, X., Debry, G., 1995. A
 diet moderately enriched in phytosterols lowers plasma cholesterol concentrations in
 normocholesterolemic humans. Ann. Nutr. Metab. 39, 291–295.
 https://doi.org/10.1159/000177875
- Rashid, U., Anwar, F., Moser, B.R., Ashraf, S., 2008. Production of sunflower oil methyl esters
 by optimized alkali-catalyzed methanolysis. Biomass Bioenergy 32, 1202–1205.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2008.03.001
- Roche, J., Essahat, A., Bouniols, A., Asri, M.E., Mouloungui, Z., Mondiès, M., Alghoum, M.,
 2004. (Helianthus annuus L.) SEEDS WITHIN CULTURAL 26.
- Van, C.N., 2010. Maîtrise de l'aptitude technologique des oléagineux par modification
 structurelle : applications aux opérations d'extraction et de transestérification in-situ
 (phdthesis). Université de La Rochelle.
- 543 9312(200210)104:9/10<661::AID-EJLT661>3.0.CO;2-D

545 Table captions

- 546 Table 1. Cold-press Y_{press}, solvent extracted Residual cake oil Y_{cake}, and total whole-seed oil yields Y_{whole-seeds}, expressed in g Oil/g db (dry basis: material mass
- 547 devoid from water content) and g Oil/g ddb (dry dry basis: material mass devoid from water and oil contents) of the linoleic and oleic variety for untreated 548 and differently DIC-treated sunflower seeds.
- 549 Table 2. The empirical models retained from the second-order regression of linoleic variety
- 550 Table 3. The empirical models retained from the second-order regression of oleic variety
- 551 Table 4. Protein contents of whole seeds and cake of sunflower versus different DIC treatment conditions.
- 552 Table 5. Saturated and unsaturated fatty acid amount of the whole seed and of the cake of sunflower for Linoleic and oleic variety of different DIC treatment
- 553 conditions
- 554 Table 6. Tocopherol contents of the sunflower oil for the Linoleic and Oleic varieties of different Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC treatment conditions.
- 555 Figure captions
- 556 Figure 1. Applied protocol of sunflower seed
- 557 Figure 2. Instant controlled pressure-drop (DIC) laboratory-scale unit.
- Figure 3. Effect of Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC parameters on oil yields by cold-press extraction, cake oil concentration and whole oil
 concentration of differently DIC-textured sunflower seeds: A) Standardized Pareto Chart; B) Separated direct effects and C) Estimated response
 area.
- 561 Figure 4. Standardized Pareto charts of Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC pretreatment for protein content of the sunflower whole seeds 562 and cake for linoleic and oleic varieties versus DIC processing parameters P (saturated dry steam) and t (processing time)..
- 563 Figure 5. Standardized Pareto Charts of DoE of Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC for fatty acid amounts of sunflower whole seeds and cakes 564 for linoleic varieties versus DIC processing parameters P (saturated dry steam) and t (processing time)..
- 565 Figure 6. Standardized Pareto Charts for Tocopherol contents of whole sunflower seeds for linoleic and oleic variety versus Instant Controlled 566 Pressure-Drop DIC processing parameters P (saturated dry steam) and t (processing time).
- 567

Ta	h	6	1
īи	DI	е	1.

Lino	leic variet	ty		Ypress			Y _{cake}		Ywhole seeds			
Run no	P(MPa)	t (s)	g Oil/g db	g Oil/g ddb	RIE%	g Oil/g db	g Oil/g ddb	RIE%	g Oil/g db	g Oil/g ddb	RIE%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds
RM	-	-	0.2096	0.3462	100%	0.2341	0.3057	100%	0.3946	0.6519	100%	53.11%
DIC 1	0.5	50	0.2147	0.3649	105%	0.2508	0.3348	110%	0.4117	0.6997	107%	52.16%
DIC 2	0.7	50	0.2527	0.4317	125%	0.2167	0.2767	91%	0.4146	0.7084	109%	60.94%
DIC 3	0.5	85	0.2382	0.4057	117%	0.2292	0.2974	97%	0.4128	0.7030	108%	57.70%
DIC 4	0.5	50	0.2002	0.3418	99%	0.2676	0.3654	120%	0.4142	0.7071	108%	48.33%
DIC 5	0.6	74.7	0.2488	0.4281	124%	0.2264	0.2927	96%	0.4189	0.7208	111%	59.40%
DIC 6	0.6	25.3	0.2125	0.3571	103%	0.2443	0.3233	106%	0.4049	0.6804	104%	52.48%
DIC 7	0.5	50	0.2632	0.4471	129%	0.2011	0.2517	82%	0.4114	0.6989	107%	63.98%
DIC 8	0.3	25.3	0.2689	0.4522	131%	0.1866	0.2294	75%	0.4053	0.6816	105%	66.34%
DIC 9	0.3	74.7	0.2622	0.4411	127%	0.1944	0.2413	79%	0.4056	0.6824	105%	64.64%
DIC 10	0.5	50	0.2572	0.4346	126%	0.2033	0.2552	83%	0.4082	0.6898	106%	63.01%
DIC 11	0.2	50	0.2998	0.5060	146%	0.1539	0.1819	60%	0.4076	0.6879	106%	73.56%
DIC 12	0.5	15	0.2733	0.4569	132%	0.1769	0.2149	70%	0.4019	0.6718	103%	68.01%
DIC 13	0.5	50	0.2564	0.4342	125%	0.2058	0.2591	85%	0.4094	0.6933	106%	62.62%
Ole	eic variety	'		Ypress			Y _{cake}		,	Ywhole-seeds		V/V. hala said
Ole Run no	eic variety P(MPa)	, t (s)	g Oil/g db	Y _{press} g Oil/g ddb	RIE%	g Oil/g db	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb	RIE%	g Oil/g db	Y _{whole-seeds} g Oil/g ddb	RIE%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds
Ole Run no RM	eic variety P(MPa) -	, t (s) -	g Oil/g db 0.2374	Y _{press} g Oil/g ddb 0.395005	RIE% 100%	g Oil/g db 0.2119	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745	RIE% 100%	g Oil/g db 0.3990	Y _{whole-seeds} g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795	RIE% 100%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds
Ole Run no RM DIC 1	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5	, t (s) - 50	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436	Y _{press} g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983	RIE% 100% 106%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805	RIE% 100% 110%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167	Ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788	RIE% 100% 108%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7	t (s) - 50 50	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266	Y _{press} g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322	RIE% 100% 106% 55%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509	RIE% 100% 110% 188%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201	Ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832	RIE% 100% 108% 109%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5	t (s) - 50 50 85	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654	RIE% 100% 106% 55% 131%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240	Ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3 DIC 4	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.5	t (s) - 50 50 85 50	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118	RIE% 100% 106% 55% 131% 132%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855 0.2061271	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240 0.4209	Ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508 0.7267389	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3 DIC 4 DIC 5	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6	r t (s) - 50 50 85 50 75	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691	RIE% 100% 106% 55% 131% 132% 127%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855 0.2061271 0.226392	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77% 84%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240 0.4240 0.4209 0.4217	Ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508 0.7267389 0.7292611	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3 DIC 4 DIC 5 DIC 6	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6	r t (s) - 50 50 85 50 75 25	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908 0.2908	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691 0.4539809	RIE% 100% 106% 55% 131% 132% 127% 115%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846 0.1923	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855 0.2061271 0.226392 0.2380834	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77% 84% 89%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240 0.4209 0.4217 0.4090	Ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508 0.7267389 0.7292611 0.6920643	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110% 104%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96% 65.60%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3 DIC 4 DIC 5 DIC 6 DIC 7	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5	r t (s) - 50 50 85 50 75 25 50	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908 0.2683 0.265	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691 0.4539809 0.454888	RIE% 100% 55% 131% 132% 127% 115%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846 0.1923 0.2074	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855 0.2061271 0.226392 0.2380834 0.2616705	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77% 84% 89% 97%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240 0.4209 0.4217 0.4090 0.4174	ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508 0.7267389 0.7292611 0.6920643 0.7165584	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110% 104%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96% 65.60% 63.48%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3 DIC 3 DIC 4 DIC 5 DIC 6 DIC 7 DIC 8	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.3	r t (s) - 50 50 85 50 75 25 50 25 50	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908 0.2683 0.2683 0.265	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691 0.4539809 0.4539809 0.4530433	RIE% 100% 55% 131% 132% 127% 115% 115%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846 0.1923 0.2074 0.1968	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.2072855 0.2061271 0.226392 0.2380834 0.2616705 0.2450199	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77% 84% 89% 97% 91%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240 0.4209 0.4217 0.4090 0.4174 0.4111	g Oil/g ddb g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508 0.7267389 0.7292611 0.6920643 0.7165584 0.6980632	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110% 104% 108%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96% 65.60% 63.48% 64.90%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3 DIC 4 DIC 5 DIC 6 DIC 7 DIC 8 DIC 9	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.3	t (s) - 50 50 85 50 75 25 50 25 25 75	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908 0.2683 0.265 0.2668 0.2668	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691 0.4539809 0.4539809 0.4530433 0.4789306	RIE% 100% 55% 131% 132% 127% 115% 115% 115% 121%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846 0.1923 0.2074 0.1968 0.1872	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855 0.2061271 0.226392 0.2380834 0.2616705 0.2450199 0.230315	RIE% 100% 110% 88% 81% 77% 84% 89% 97% 91% 86%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240 0.4240 0.4217 0.4217 0.4090 0.4174 0.4111 0.4149	g Oil/g ddb g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508 0.7267389 0.7292611 0.6920643 0.7165584 0.7092456	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110% 108% 108% 105%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96% 65.60% 63.48% 64.90% 67.53%
Ole Run no RM DIC 1 DIC 2 DIC 3 DIC 4 DIC 5 DIC 6 DIC 7 DIC 8 DIC 9 DIC 10	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.5	t (s) - 50 50 85 50 75 25 50 25 50 25 75 50	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908 0.2683 0.2683 0.265 0.2668 0.2802 0.2814	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691 0.4539809 0.4539809 0.454888 0.4530433 0.4789306 0.4789306	RIE% 100% 55% 131% 132% 127% 115% 115% 115% 121% 123%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846 0.1923 0.2074 0.1968 0.1872 0.191	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855 0.2061271 0.226392 0.2380834 0.2616705 0.2450199 0.230315	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77% 84% 89% 97% 91% 86% 88%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4240 0.4209 0.4217 0.4090 0.4174 0.4111 0.4149 0.4187	ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7362508 0.7267389 0.7292611 0.6920643 0.7165584 0.6980632 0.7092456 0.7201419	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110% 104% 108% 107% 108%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96% 65.60% 63.48% 64.90% 67.53% 67.22%
OleRun noRMDIC 1DIC 2DIC 3DIC 4DIC 5DIC 6DIC 7DIC 8DIC 9DIC 10DIC 10	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.2	t (s) - 50 50 85 50 75 25 50 25 50 25 50 50 50	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908 0.2683 0.2683 0.265 0.2668 0.2802 0.2814 0.2814	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691 0.4539809 0.4539809 0.454888 0.4530433 0.4789306 0.4840479 0.4468739	RIE% 100% 55% 131% 132% 127% 115% 115% 115% 121% 123% 113%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846 0.1923 0.2074 0.1968 0.1872 0.191 0.1936	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.5062509 0.2172855 0.2061271 0.226392 0.2380834 0.2616705 0.2450199 0.230315 0.2360939	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77% 84% 89% 97% 97% 91% 86% 88% 88%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4209 0.4217 0.4090 0.4174 0.4111 0.4149 0.4187 0.4072	ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7267389 0.7267389 0.7292611 0.6920643 0.7165584 0.6980632 0.7092456 0.7201419 0.6869533	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110% 104% 108% 105% 107% 108% 103%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96% 65.60% 63.48% 64.90% 67.53% 67.22% 65.05%
OleRun noRMDIC 1DIC 2DIC 3DIC 4DIC 5DIC 6DIC 7DIC 8DIC 9DIC 10DIC 11DIC 12	eic variety P(MPa) - 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.5	t (s) 50 50 85 50 75 25 50 25 50 25 50 50 50 15	g Oil/g db 0.2374 0.2436 0.1266 0.2989 0.3015 0.2908 0.2683 0.265 0.2668 0.2802 0.2814 0.2814 0.2649	Ypress g Oil/g ddb 0.395005 0.4175983 0.2183322 0.5189654 0.5206118 0.5028691 0.4539809 0.4539809 0.454888 0.4530433 0.4789306 0.4789306 0.4840479 0.4468739	RIE% 100% 55% 131% 127% 115% 115% 115% 121% 123% 123% 114%	g Oil/g db 0.2119 0.2288 0.3361 0.1785 0.1709 0.1846 0.1923 0.2074 0.1968 0.1872 0.191 0.1936 0.1968	Y _{cake} g Oil/g ddb 0.2688745 0.2966805 0.2072855 0.2061271 0.2061271 0.226392 0.2380834 0.2616705 0.2450199 0.230315 0.2360939 0.2400794 0.2450199	RIE% 100% 110% 188% 81% 77% 84% 89% 97% 91% 86% 88% 88% 89% 91%	g Oil/g db 0.3990 0.4167 0.4201 0.4209 0.4217 0.4090 0.4174 0.4111 0.4149 0.4187 0.4072 0.4095	ywhole-seeds g Oil/g ddb 0.6638795 0.7142788 0.7245832 0.7267389 0.7267389 0.7202611 0.6920643 0.7165584 0.6980632 0.7092456 0.7201419 0.6869533 0.6934439	RIE% 100% 108% 109% 111% 109% 110% 104% 108% 105% 107% 108% 103% 104%	Ypress/Ywhole-seeds 59.50% 58.46% 30.13% 70.49% 71.64% 68.96% 65.60% 63.48% 64.90% 67.53% 67.22% 65.05% 64.67%

Table 2. The empirical models	retained from the second-order	r rearession of linoleic variety
	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

$Y_{press} = 1.063 - 1.918p - 0.0078t + 1.744p^2 + 0.00384pt + 0.000059t^2$	<i>R</i> ² =83.658%
$Y_{cake} = -0.39267 + 1.884p + 0.00830t - 1.79356p^2 - 0.00127pt - 0.0000712t^2$	<i>R</i> ² =87.784%
$Y_{whole-seeds} = 0.7011 - 0.1225p + 0.00005t + 0.04908p^2 + 0.002562Pt - 0.0000084t^2$	<i>R</i> ² =90.623%

5	7	5	
5	7	6	

Table3. The empirical models retained from the second-order regression of oleic variety

$Y_{press} = 0.1728 + 1.825p - 0.00201t - 2.412p^2 + 0.00173pt + 0.000021t^2$	<i>R</i> ² =69.617%
$Y_{cake} = 0.478833 - 1.67217p + 0.00226992t + 2.20435p^2 + 0.0000565pt - 0.000026t^2$	<i>R</i> ² =67.018%
$Y_{whole-seeds} = 0.65164 + 0.1524p + 0.0002576t - 0.2079p^2 + 0.00179Pt - 0.0000056t^2$	<i>R</i> ² =91.137%

	linoleic variety			
	whole seeds	sunflower cake		
DIC 1	17.87	22.91		
DIC 2	18.11	22.56		
DIC 3	17.31	22.22		
DIC 4	17.71	23.36		
DIC 5	17.61	23.65		
DIC 6	18.01	22.53		
DIC 7	18.51	23.81		
DIC 8	20.47	25.91		
DIC 9	17.55	25.46		
DIC 10	18.80	25.03		
DIC 11	17.77	25.66		
DIC 12	17.67	25.91		
DIC 13	17.71	23.22		
<rm></rm>	18.06 ± 0.80	25.59 ± 0.66		
<dic></dic>	18.08 ± 0.82	24.02 ± 1.38		

579 <RM>: Average of Raw Material samples

580 <DIC>: Average of DIC treatments

	Linoleic variety							
		whole seed	of sunflower			cake of	sunflower	
Trial n°	C16:0	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2	C16:0	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2
DIC 1	6.79	4.24	23.08	64.12	6.68	4.29	25.89	62.49
DIC 2	6.65	4.17	22.91	64.69	6.78	4.33	24.13	64.11
DIC 3	6.71	4.27	23.54	63.77	6.88	4.38	24.37	63.72
DIC 4	6.60	4.14	23.84	63.68	6.83	4.47	24.71	62.99
DIC 5	6.58	4.27	23.25	64.09	6.83	4.47	24.77	63.26
DIC 6	6.51	4.17	23.25	64.34	6.83	4.43	24.38	62.97
DIC 7	6.45	4.21	23.47	63.98	6.89	4.54	25.14	62.37
DIC 8	6.44	4.18	23.28	63.98	6.88	5.00	24.53	63.09
DIC 9	6.49	4.18	23.68	63.74	6.95	4.52	24.63	63.22
DIC 10	6.48	4.11	23.10	64.43	6.96	4.66	25.12	62.20
DIC 11	6.52	4.25	23.55	63.97	7.12	4.57	24.70	62.92
DIC 12	6.65	4.38	24.04	63.29	6.96	4.80	25.18	61.95
DIC 13	6.56	4.16	23.70	63.78	6.96	4.74	25.45	61.76
<rm></rm>	6.75 ±0.02	4.354 ±0.06	24.14 ±0.16	63.28 ±0.17	6.73 ±0.07	4.41 ±0.24	24.23 ±0.71	63.75 ±1.34
<dic></dic>	6.57 ±0.11	4.209 ±0.07	23.45 ±0.33	63.99 ±0.36	6.89 ±0.11	4.56 ±0.15	24.85 ±0.49	62.85 ±0.68

581 Table 5. Saturated and unsaturated fatty acid amount of the whole seed and of the cake of sunflower for Linoleic and oleic variety of different DIC treatment conditions

582 <RM>: Average of Raw Material samples

583 <DIC>: Average of DIC treatments

585	Г
586	

Table 6. Tocopherol contents of the sunflower oil for the Linoleic and Oleic varieties of different Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC treatment conditions.

Linoleic variety								
Trial n°	β	γ	α	TTP				
		 (μg/g oil)						
DIC-1	11.64	12.86	166.74	191.24				
DIC-2	12.16	13.97	216.98	243.1				
DIC-3	10.63	13.69	188.26	212.59				
DIC-4	9.93	12.12	166.74	188.79				
DIC-5	10.05	11.93	156.86	178.84				
DIC-6	12.68	13.86	174.37	200.91				
DIC-7	8.87	12.4	175.62	196.9				
DIC-8	10.96	14.22	201.29	226.46				
DIC-9	9.12	11.95	162.08	183.15				
DIC-10	9.66	14.86	224.01	248.54				
DIC-11	0	14.6	154	168.6				
DIC-12	9.03	13.76	180.42	203.22				
DIC-13	9.16	13.07	166.12	188.35				
Optimum	9.76	13.13	165.68	188.58				
<rm></rm>	12.8±3.8	14.3±0.7	195.4±30.5	222.6±26.1				
<dic></dic>	9.5±3.1	13.3±1.0	179.5±22.3	202.4±24.3				

587 <RM>: Average of Raw Material samples

588 <DIC>: Average of DIC treatments







Figure 2. Instant controlled pressure-drop (DIC) laboratory-scale unit.

-23-



596 597

598

599

Figure 3. Effect of Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC parameters on oil yields by cold-press extraction, cake oil concentration and whole oil concentration of differently DIC-textured sunflower seeds: A) Standardized Pareto Chart; B) Separated direct effects and C) Estimated response area.



Figure 4. Standardized Pareto charts of Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC pretreatment for protein content
 of the sunflower whole seeds and cake for linoleic and oleic varieties versus DIC processing parameters P
 (saturated dry steam) and t (processing time).





To copherol content of seed sunflower (μ g/mg db)



Figure 6. Standardized Pareto Charts for Tocopherol contents of whole sunflower seeds for linoleic and oleic
 variety versus Instant Controlled Pressure-Drop DIC processing parameters P (saturated dry steam) and t
 (processing time).

615