

Cross-shore suspended sediment transport in a macro-tidal and low-sloping upper shoreface

Marc Pezerat, Xavier Bertin, Thibault Coulombier

▶ To cite this version:

Marc Pezerat, Xavier Bertin, Thibault Coulombier. Cross-shore suspended sediment transport in a macro-tidal and low-sloping upper shoreface. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 2023, 48 (5), pp.853-862. 10.1002/esp.5566 . hal-04299179

HAL Id: hal-04299179 https://univ-rochelle.hal.science/hal-04299179

Submitted on 23 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

Cross-shore suspended sediment transport in a macro-tidal and low-slopping upper shoreface

Marc Pezerat^{*1}, Xavier Bertin², and Thibault Coulombier²

⁴ ¹Service Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine (SHOM), Brest, France
 ²UMR 7266 LIENSs, CNRS - La Rochelle Université, La Rochelle, France

Abstract

The driving mechanisms of sediment transport across the upper shoreface have yet been poorly examined because of the scarcity of field measurements beyond the inter-tidal area, 8 which is mainly due to instrumentation difficulties. Here, we investigate the cross-shore suspended sediment transport from synchronized timeseries of bottom pressure, current velocity 10 and suspended sediment concentration measured by 13 m water depth, in a macro-tidal and 11 low-slopping shoreface, under storm waves and fair weather conditions. Depending on the 12 tidal phase and the incoming wave height, the measuring structure was alternatively located 13 in the shoaling zone and in the outer surfzone. The analysis of field observations first revealed 14 that steady currents dominate suspended transport, with a notable contribution of the wave 15 driven return current under storm wave conditions. Cross-spectral analysis further indicates 16 that short waves globally induce an offshore-directed transport under energetic conditions as-17 sociated with a crest-to-trough phase lag effect. The contribution of infragravity (IG) waves, 18 which reaches as much as 20% of the total transport, shows contrasting directions depending 19 on whether IG waves are bound to the short wave groups or propagate as free waves. 20

21 Keywords

2

3

6

²² Suspended sediment transport, shoreface, undertow, short waves, phase lag, IG waves

²³ 1 Introduction

At seasonal to decadal timescales, the shoreface transitionning between the surf zone and the shelf plays a fundamental role in the morphological evolution of adjacent shorelines (Hamon-Kerivel et al., 2020). In coastal regions characterized by large tidal ranges and gently sloping shoreface, this transition region can shift by several kilometers in the cross-shore direction, depending on the tidal phase and the incoming wave height (e.g. see Pezerat et al., 2022). It is ackowledged that sediment transport generally takes place as both bedload and suspended

load, but fine sandy sediments are more likely transported in suspension across wave-exposed
nearshore area (e.g. Jaffe et al., 1985; Osborne and Greenwood, 1992; Russell, 1993). Several studies
further showed that suspended sediment transport on beaches is associated with both oscillatory

³³ wave motions and steady currents, i.e. time-averaged over several wave periods (e.g. Osborne and

^{*}Corresponding author: marc.pezerat@shom.fr

Greenwood, 1992; Russell, 1993; Ruessink et al., 1998). In situ observations support the fact that 34 the oscillatory transport within the gravity (G) band - in frequency (f) space - associated with short 35 waves (0.04 Hz $\lesssim f \lesssim 0.4$ Hz) is mainly onshore-directed and dominates the suspended load motion 36 under moderate wave energy conditions (Osborne and Greenwood, 1992; Russell, 1993). Based on 37 laboratory experiments, several studies further related the transport at short wave frequencies 38 under sheet flow regime to the wave shape that is determined by a certain degree of skewness and asymmetry (e.g. Dibajnia and Watanabe, 1992; Dohmen-Janssen et al., 2002; Hsu and Hanes, 40 2004; Grasso et al., 2011). Skewed waves are characterized by stronger velocities in the direction 41 of propagation under their crests, hence (presumably) mobilizing a larger amount of sediment 42 than under their troughs, below which the velocity is oriented in the opposite direction. While 43 Hsu and Hanes (2004) found that the transport is oriented in the direction of wave propagation 44 under skewed waves, Dohmen-Janssen et al. (2002) and Grasso et al. (2011) actually observed 45 that the orientation of the transport depends on the phase lag between the wave passing and 46 the re-suspension event. For highly skewed waves, the peak of suspended sediment concentration 47 could be slightly shifted in time to be found concomitant with the wave's trough, which thus 48 results in a transport offshore-directed (crest-to-trough phase lag effect). Within the surf zone, 49 waves become more asymmetric, resulting in a skewed acceleration over the wave period as the 50 acceleration is stronger under the front face than under the rear face of the wave (see Elgar et al., 51 2001, their Fig.1). Several studies reported that sediment resuspension is more likely correlated 52 with orbital acceleration (e.g. Hanes and Huntley, 1986; Jaffe and Rubin, 1996), such that for 53 asymmetric waves, a trough-to-crest phase lag is induced between the resuspension event and the 54 wave passing, resulting in a transport onshore-directed. Infragravity (IG) waves (0.001 Hz $\lesssim f \lesssim$ 55 0.04 Hz) have also a direct bearing on suspended sediment transport, although observations result 56 in contrasting direction and relative importance of the associated transport (see the pioneering 57 studies from Abdelrahman and Thornton, 1987; Beach and Sternberg, 1988; Roelvink and Stive, 58 1989; Osborne and Greenwood, 1992; Russell, 1993, among many others). de Bakker et al. (2016) 59 proposed a conceptual model relying on the local ratio of IG wave height to short wave height 60 to infer the direction of the transport and its relative importance based on observations collected 61 either on moderately or gently sloping beach to account for the different IG waves propagation 62 regime, depending on whether IG waves are bound to the short wave groups or propagate as free 63 waves. Finally, the wave-driven return current (the so-called undertow) was found to be the main 64 mechanism that induces an offshore directed transport within the surf zone (e.g. Russell, 1993; 65 Ruessink et al., 1998). 66

The driving mechanisms of the cross-shore sediment transport beyond the inner surf zone are still poorly understood because of the scarcity of field measurements in relatively deeper water, which is mainly due to instrumentation difficulties, especially under storm conditions. In this context, the present study aims to investigate the relative contributions to cross-shore suspended sediment transport over the macro-tidal and low sloping upper shoreface in front of a beach made of fine sands, based on in situ measurements at a 12.8 m-depth location. The dataset covers storm events as well as fair weather conditions, thus encompassing a variety of forcing regimes.

$_{74}$ 2 Methods

75 2.1 Study area

The study area is located in front of Saint-Trojan beach, a 8 km-long sandspit along the South-76 Western coast of the Oléron Island in the central part of the French Atlantic coast (Fig. 1a). This 77 stretch of coast spans in a North-West to South-East direction forming an angle of approximately 78 5° from the true North at the median latitude. This area is characterized by a very gently sloping 79 shoreface (the isobath 20 m being found 10 km offshore, see Fig. 1b) and a non-barred dissipative 80 beach composed of fine sandy sediments with a median grain size of the order of $0.2 \,\mathrm{mm}$ (Bertin 81 et al., 2008). In this region, tides are semi-diurnal and range from 1.5 to 5.5 m, which corresponds 82 to a macro-tidal regime. Yearly mean wave conditions along the 30 m isobath are characterized 83 by a significant wave height of $1.6 \,\mathrm{m}$, a mean wave period of $5.9 \,\mathrm{s}$ and a direction of 285° from the 84 true North (Dodet et al., 2019), but the offshore significant wave height can exceed 10 m with peak 85 periods over 20s (Bertin et al., 2015). 86



Figure 1: a) Location of the study area in the bay of Biscay. b) Bathymetric map of the study area with isobaths reduced to the Mean Sea Level (MSL) displayed every 5 m (black dash-dotted lines), sensors location and position of the Chassiron meteorological station while the dashed line symbolizes the cross-shore direction.

87 2.2 Field campaign and data processing

This study builds on the field campaign carried out between January and February 2021 already 88 presented by Pezerat et al. (2022). The measurements from a high resolution (1 MHz) Acoustic 89 Wave and Current Profiler (AWAC) deployed by 12.8 m-depth below MSL are here combined with 90 measurements from an Optical Backscatter Sensor (OBS) that was plugged to the AWAC and 91 deported 0.5 m above its head. The OBS signal intensity was converted into Suspended Sediment 92 Concentration (SSC) after a calibration in the laboratory, using sediment samples collected at the 93 location of the instruments. The measurements alternated a "current cycle" and a "wave cycle" 94 each hour. During the "current cycle", 10-min averaged velocity profile measurements were col-95

lected along the vertical axis, while during the "wave cycle", velocity measurements within a fixed 96 cell located 4.5 m above sensor's head, bottom pressure measurements and SSC measurements 97 were performed at 2 Hz during 20 minutes. Pressure measurements were first corrected for sea level atmospheric pressure using data collected at the nearby meteorological station of Chassiron 99 (Fig. 1b), detrended and converted into a sea surface elevation signal assuming a hydrostatic pres-100 sure. Pressure attenuation with depth due to non-hydrostatic effects was then corrected using the 101 Transfer Function Method based on the linear theory (TFM, e.g. see Bishop and Donelan, 1987) 102 to compute the short waves free surface elevation signal (η_L) . This method requires an upper 103 cutoff frequency $(f_c, \text{here set to } 0.2 \text{ Hz})$ so as to remove high frequency noise that is amplified by 104 the TFM correction and to prevent the over-amplification of high-frequency energy levels due to 105 non-linear interactions in intermediate and shallow-water depths (Mouragues et al., 2019). Finally 106 the sea surface elevation density spectra E(f) were computed by means of a fast Fourier Trans-107 form on 5 Hanning-windowed segments with a 50% overlap which allows an *ad hoc* compromise 108 between statistical stability (10 degrees of freedom) and frequency resolution (4.2 mHz) required 109 to investigate the contributions to the elevation signal from both the G and the IG bands. The 110 spectral significant wave height (H_{m0}) and the continuous peak period (T_{pc}) were computed using 111 the p-th moments of the spectra: 112

$$m_p = \int_{f_{min}}^{f_{max}} f^p E(f) df \tag{1}$$

¹¹³ such that:

$$H_{m0} = 4\sqrt{m_0} \tag{2}$$

114 and,

$$T_{pc} = \frac{m_{-2}m_1}{m_0^2} \tag{3}$$

where f_{min} was set to 0.001 Hz for the IG band and f_{max} was set to $f_c = 0.2$ Hz for the G band, while both spectral domains were separated based on the separation frequency $f_{min,G}$ defined as half the peak frequency (Hamm and Peronnard, 1997; Bertin et al., 2020).

During the studied period, the waves globally reached the study area from a Westward direction, 118 almost normally incident to the shoreline, suggesting a prevailing contribution of the cross-shore 119 transport. The data from the "wave cycle" were thus used to compute the time-averaged sediment 120 transport rate in suspension along the cross-shore direction. It is worth pointing out that velocity 121 and SSC measurements were not vertically co-located, such that the quantitative estimate of the 122 sediment fluxes is presumably biased. It is nonetheless assumed that both measurements show 123 consistent patterns allowing to identify the relative contributions of the different forcing processes 124 involved. This strong assumption is found justified for three reasons: (i) considering the forcing 125 conditions, the transport of fine sandy sediment likely takes place as suspended load (see Appendix 126 C), (ii) the decrease of short wave orbital velocities between the wave cell and the OBS roughly 127 estimated by means of the linear wave theory, is rather moderate, of the order of few percents (see 128 Appendix B) and (iii) the steady current keep the same orientation along the vertical at this scale 129 (e.g., see Pezerat et al., 2022). Following the seminal approach of Jaffe et al. (1985), instantaneous 130 SSC (c) and cross-shore velocity (u) are decomposed into a mean $(\overline{\cdot})$ and an oscillating component 131

 $_{132}$ ($\tilde{\cdot}$) such that the time-averaged sediment flux reads:

$$q_{tot} = \overline{uc} = \overbrace{\bar{u}\bar{c}}^{q_{mean}} + \overbrace{\bar{u}\bar{c}}^{q_{osc}}$$
(4)

where q_{mean} corresponds to the transport forced by the mean current, that integrates tidal current and the wave-driven mean current, and q_{osc} , also referred to as the flux coupling, measures the correlation between the fluctuations of velocity and concentration. This term can be readily estimated from the co-spectrum between \tilde{u} and \tilde{c} , which is the real part ($\Re\{\cdot\}$) of the cross-spectrum between both signals ($S_{\tilde{u}\tilde{c}}$):

$$q_{osc} = \int_{f} \Re\{S_{\tilde{u}\tilde{c}}\} df = \overbrace{\int_{f_{min,IG}}^{f_{min,G}} \Re\{S_{\tilde{u}\tilde{c}}\} df}^{q_{osc}^{G}} + \overbrace{\int_{f_{min,G}}^{f_{max}} \Re\{S_{\tilde{u}\tilde{c}}\} df}^{q_{osc}^{G}}$$
(5)

where f_{max} was here set to 0.4 Hz as measurements were directly considered. For each 20 min-long burst, raw signals were first detrended to compute the oscillating components of both signals. The cross-spectrum was then computed from the product of the conjugate of the Fourier transform of \tilde{u} with the Fourier transform of \tilde{c} using again 5 Hanning-windowed segments with a 50% overlap. Finally, the relative absolute contributions of each term to the time-averaged sediment flux is given by:

$$R_X = \frac{|X|}{|q_{mean}| + |q_{osc}^{IG}| + |q_{osc}^G|}$$
(6)

where X represents either q_{mean} , q_{osc}^G or q_{osc}^{IG} .

$_{145}$ 3 Results

The local time-averaged sediment flux is alternatively of very low intensity (e.g. between the 05/02146 and 08/02), positive (i.e. onshore-directed) or negative (i.e. offshore-directed). Overall, the trans-147 port forced by the mean current (q_{mean}) dominates, with a relative contribution averaged over the 148 entire time period reaching 88%. One can observe for instance the strong tidal modulation of q 149 during spring tides between the 10/02 and the 20/02, with a transport alternatively onshore- or 150 offshore-directed depending on the tidal phase (Fig. 2a,d). During the first spring tides, concomi-151 tant with the main storm episode (between the 28/01 and the 05/02, see the grey shaded area in 152 Fig. 2), Pezerat et al. (2022) showed that the cross-shore mean current is mostly offshore-directed 153 associated with the prevailing contribution of the wave driven return current generating a jet like 154 circulation with a local current intensity reaching as much as 0.25 m/s at the peak of the storm. As 155 a result, the local time-averaged cross-shore sediment transport is mostly offshore-directed, reach-156 ing up to $-7.3 \text{ kg/m}^2/\text{s}$, such that flood currents are not intense-enough to reverse the orientation 157 of the transport (Fig. 2d). For some bursts, the flux coupling contributes predominantly to the 158 sediment transport, especially under energetic conditions (Fig. 2e). The results show a prevailling 159 contribution of the flux coupling in the G band as compared to the IG band, associated with a 160 low local ratio ($\simeq 10\%$) of IG wave height to short wave height (Fig 2b,e), which was found to be 161 a pertinent proxy for the contribution of IG waves to sediment transport (de Bakker et al., 2016). 162 Focusing on the energetic episode, the co-spectra reveal contrasting dynamics with direction re-163 versals within both the IG and the G bands (Fig 3a). It is worth pointing out that the order of 164

¹⁶⁵ magnitude for the time-averaged sediment flux is fairly consistent with the results of the literature ¹⁶⁶ $(q \simeq 5 \text{ kg/m}^2/\text{s} \text{ Russell}, 1993; \text{ Osborne and Greenwood}, 1992; de Bakker et al., 2016), although$ ¹⁶⁷ previous studies typically relied on in situ measurements collected in the inter-tidal area.



Figure 2: a) Burst-averaged water depth. b) Short waves and IG waves significant height and continuous peak period of short waves. c) Net time-averaged cross-shore sediment transport rate.
d) Relative absolute contributions of each component of the transport. The grey shaded area in each panel covers the storm event studied by Pezerat et al. (2022).

168 4 Discussion

¹⁶⁹ 4.1 Suspended sediment transport by short waves

The contribution of short waves is further investigated regarding the phase-lag between \tilde{u} and \tilde{c} within the G band, which reads:

$$\Phi^G = \int_{f_{min,G}}^{f_{max}} \arctan\left(\frac{\Im\{S_{\tilde{u}\tilde{c}}\}}{\Re\{S_{\tilde{u}\tilde{c}}\}}\right) df \tag{7}$$

where $\Im\{\cdot\}$ designates the Imaginary part. The phase-lag is examined in relation to the development of the short wave skewness and asymmetry, respectively estimated through the two following ¹⁷⁴ parameters (e.g. Elgar and Guza, 1985):

$$Sk = \frac{\overline{\tilde{u}_G^3}}{\overline{\tilde{u}^2}^{3/2}} \tag{8}$$

175 and,

$$As = \frac{\overline{\mathcal{H}\{\tilde{u}_G\}^3}}{\overline{\tilde{u}_G^2}^{3/2}} \tag{9}$$

where \tilde{u}_G is obtained by correcting \tilde{u} from the low-pass filtered signal with a cutoff frequency set 176 to $f_{min,G}$, while $\mathcal{H}\{\cdot\}$ designates the Hilbert's transform. The values of Sk are typically between 177 0 and 1 (the higher the value of Sk, the more the waves are skewed), whereas the values of As are 178 usually negative between 0 and -1.5 (the lower the value of As, the more the waves are asymmetric). 179 While the asymmetry remains relatively weak, the skewness is particularly developed at the storm 180 peaks (e.g. around the 31/01 and 02/02, see Fig. 3b). The results tend to show that the transport 181 is mostly offshore-directed when Φ^G is negative, concomitantly with high Sk values (Fig. 3a,b), 182 which is consistent with the crest-to-trough phase lag effect described by Grasso et al. (2011) from 183 their laboratory experiments. This phase lag is readily observed by comparing the timeseries of \tilde{u} 184 and c for a given burst (Fig. 3c,d). 185



Figure 3: a) \tilde{u} - \tilde{c} co-spectra and separation frequency between the IG and G bands ($f_{min,G}$, see the black dashed line). b) Short waves skewness (Sk) and asymmetry (As) and phase lag between \tilde{u} and \tilde{c} at short wave frequencies (raw signal in light green and 6 h-running-averaged signal in dark green). c) Burst sample of the short waves free surface elevation signal (see the black vertical line in panels **a** and **b** for the burst considered). d) Burst sample of \tilde{u} and c. The grey-shaded area highlight the phase-lag between the maxima of short waves orbital velocity and the peak of SSC.

¹⁸⁶ 4.2 Suspended sediment transport by IG waves

Although the contribution of the flux coupling within the IG band is overall of second order, its 187 relative contribution reaches as much as 20% at the peak of the storm (e.g. the 31/01, just before 188 6 h, see Fig. 2e). The direction of the cross-shore transport that occurs within the IG band is 189 further analysed. Following de Bakker et al. (2016), the correlation between the incoming IG waves 190 and the short wave energy envelope is quantified to estimate whether the IG waves are bound to 191 the short wave group or propagate as free waves. The low-pass filtered reconstructed free surface 192 elevation (η_{IG}) is first partitioned into incoming (η_{IG}^+) and outgoing (η_{IG}^-) IG wave signals using 193 the approach of Van Dongeren and Svendsen (1997) (their Eq. 4.30): 194

$$\eta_{IG}^{+} = \frac{\sqrt{gh}\eta_{IG} + Q}{c_g + \sqrt{gh}}$$

$$\eta_{IG}^{-} = \frac{c_g\eta_{IG} - Q}{c_g + \sqrt{gh}}$$
(10)

195 with:

$$Q = \tilde{u}_{IG}(h + \eta_{IG}) \tag{11}$$

where \tilde{u}_{IG} is obtained by low-pass filtering \tilde{u} with a cutoff frequency set to $f_{min,G}$. These equations 196 are modified versions of those given by Guza et al. (1985), extending their approach to the shoreface 197 by assuming that incoming waves travel at the speed of short wave groups and outgoing waves 198 propagate as free waves. The reflection coefficient (\mathcal{R}^2) is thus defined as the ratio between 199 the incoming and the outgoing energy. The envelope of the short wave elevation signal (A) is 200 computed following the procedure described by List (1991) and the Groupiness Factor (GF) is 201 finally introduced to quantify the modulation of the amplitude of the elevation signal corresponding 202 to the presence of groups, such as (List, 1991): 203

$$GF = \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma_A}{\overline{A}} \tag{12}$$

where σ_A is the standard deviation of A. A strong tidal modulation of the reflection coefficient 204 is observed under moderate wave energy conditions, with $\mathcal{R}^2 \lesssim 0.5$ at low tides and $\mathcal{R}^2 \gtrsim 1$ at 205 high tides (Fig. 4a,b), suggesting a total reflection at the shoreline. The values of \mathcal{R}^2 above unity 206 would indicate an increase of incoming IG waves energy between the sensor's location and the 207 shoreline. Interestingly, the values of \mathcal{R}^2 drop and the tidal modulation weakens under energetic 208 conditions (see Fig. 4b between the 30/01 and the 03/02). These results are consistent with those 209 observed by Bertin et al. (2020) during a field campaign carried out in February 2017 under similar 210 hydrodynamic conditions. The increase in \mathcal{R}^2 at high tide would be explained by a steeper slope of 211 the beach upper part, while lower values of \mathcal{R}^2 would be associated with the dissipation of IG waves 212 between the sensor and the shoreline. Bertin et al. (2020) shows indeed that, across this wide area 213 within which breaking is active, a large amount of IG wave energy could be transferred towards 214 higher frequency through non-linear triad interactions, which ultimately lead to IG wave breaking 215 in shallow depth. The results further show a negative correlation between A and the incoming IG 216 waves elevation signal associated with relatively high values of the GF (GF $\simeq 0.6$), which suggests 217 a bound wave pattern for the incoming IG waves (Fig. 4c). The strong re-suspension by short 218 waves at the scale of a group thus coincides with the trough of the bound IG wave associated 219 with an offshore-directed current (Fig. 5a,c,e). This bound wave mechanism, as conceptualised by 220 Abdelrahman and Thornton (1987) - see also Roelvink and Stive (1989) - and already reported by 221 few studies (e.g. Osborne and Greenwood, 1992; de Bakker et al., 2016), could explain the offshore-222 directed transport within the IG band that is globally observed. Yet, the negative correlation 223 occasionally decreases along with the GF (the most notable example being observed around the 224 30/01, midnight, see Fig. 4c), which could be interpreted as the release of bound IG waves as 225 the highest short waves break. As the released IG waves propagate a bit faster than the weakly 226 dispersive short waves, the crest of the IG waves would be in phase with the partially remaining 227 groups (Fig. 5b,d,e), which would result in inverting the former mechanism. 228



Figure 4: a) \tilde{u} - \tilde{c} co-spectra and separation frequency between the IG and G bands ($f_{min,G}$, see the black dashed line). b) Burst-averaged water depth and squared reflection coefficient. c) Groupiness Factor and Pearson correlation coefficient with a confidence level of 95% between the envelope of short waves elevation signal and the incoming IG waves elevation signal.



Figure 5: **a**) and **b**) Burst samples of the free surface elevation and associated IG waves free surface elevation for two bursts (see the vertical black lines in Fig. 4). **c**) and **d**) Burst samples of the oscillating component of the cross-shore velocity and associated IG waves signal. **e**) and **f**) Burst samples of SSC signal and associated signal within the IG band. The panels on the left illustrate the bound wave mechanism, whereas those on the right are representative of the transport mechanism with released incoming IG waves (see in particular the grey shaded area).

229 5 Conclusion

This study first shows that steady currents dominate suspended sediment transport within the 230 upper shoreface. While tidal currents modulate the orientation of the transport under fair weather 231 conditions, the wave driven return current is shown to force an offshore-directed sediment transport 232 at the storm peak at the measurement location, as far as 4 km from the shoreline. Cross-spectral 233 analysis of the oscillating transport further shows the key contribution of short wave motions 234 especially under energetic conditions, which globally induce an offshore directed transport resulting 235 from a crest-to-trough phase lag effect. Finally the relatively weak contribution of IG waves to 236 suspended transport appears correctly described by the conceptual model proposed by de Bakker 237 et al. (2016) with a transport mostly offshore directed, associated with incoming IG waves bound to 238 the wave groups. Although based on measurements vertically not co-located, from a single location, 239 these results highlight the processes governing the cross-shore sediment transport beyond the inner 240 surf zone, and further advocate for a comprehensive description of the wave-driven dynamics all 241 across the nearshore area. It thus appears crucial to repeat similar field campaigns, extending 242 measurement locations across the shoreface and the surf zone in contrasted environment to further 243 investigate the influence of the local bottom slope or the granulometry. Finally, these results also 244

question the morphodynamic modelling of nearshore areas (including the shoreface and the surf zone) when using phase-averaged wave model where IG waves are not represented and phase lag effects have to be parameterized.

²⁴⁸ Acknowledgments

Marc Pezerat was supported by a PhD fellowship from CDA La Rochelle and from the FEDER 249 project DURALIT at the time of the field campaign, and acknowledges the EPA SHOM for 250 presently supporting his research effort. The authors appreciate the administrative support of 251 the DDTM to carry out long term deployment of sensors. The data were also acquired in the 252 scope of the National Observation System DYNALIT (https://www.dynalit.fr), part of the re-253 search infrastructure ILICO. Lastly, the authors wish to thank Kévin Martins for his precious 254 advice on cross-spectral analysis, and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments 255 and suggestions. 256

²⁵⁷ A OBS calibration

The calibration of the OBS followed the protocol detailled in Coulombier et al. (2012). Five series 258 of measurements were here carried out in a 70 L tank in which the sediment collected at the study 259 site was progressively added and maintained in suspension using a stirrer and a pump. Prior to each 260 addition of sediment, a 500 mL sample was collected to measure the concentration corresponding 261 to the n-th series of measurements after filtration and drying of the sediment contained in the 262 sample. Several measurements were performed after addition of sediment so as to control that the 263 system reached a steady state. The final OBS measurements of each series were then fitted to the 264 concentration measured using a simple linear regression with a good agreement ($r^2 = 0.95$, see 265 Figure 6), such that the fitted model could be applied to the OBS measurements collected during 266 the field campaign. 267



Figure 6: Linear regression resulting from the calibration procedure of the OBS sensor.

²⁶⁸ B Vertical decrease of short wave orbital velocities

The vertical decrease of short wave orbital velocities can be estimated from the depth-varying expression of orbital velocities (u_{orb} , in m/s) based on the linear wave theory:

$$u_{orb}(z) = \sigma a \frac{\cosh\left(k(z+d)\right)}{\sinh\left(kh\right)} \tag{B.1}$$

where σ is the relative pulsation (in rad/s), a is the wave amplitude (in m), k is the wavenumber (in m⁻¹) given by the dispersion relation reading $\sigma^2 = gk \tanh(kh)$, with $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$, the gravitational acceleration, and z is the vertical coordinates ranging from -d at the bottom to $\overline{\eta_h}$ at the free surface, such that the local mean water-depth reads $h = d + \overline{\eta_h}$. Considering a relative pulsation based on the continuous peak period representative of the sea-state (i.e. $\sigma = 2\pi/T_{pc}$), the relative decrease of short wave orbital velocities from the wave cell to the OBS vertical locations thus reads:

$$\chi_{u_{orb}} = \frac{u_{orb}(z = z_{wc}) - u_{orb}(z = z_{OBS})}{u_{orb}(z = z_{wc})} = 1 - \frac{\cosh\left(k(z_{OBS} + d)\right)}{\cosh\left(k(z_{wc} + d)\right)}$$
(B.2)

²⁷⁸ The result of the computation carried out over the entire studied period is presented Figure 7.

²⁷⁹ C Prevailing transport regime for fine sands

The dominance of suspended load over bedload transport usually occurs when the skin friction velocity exceeds the sediment settling velocity (Soulsby, 1997). As such, the maximum skin friction velocity under combined waves and currents (u_{max}^* , in m/s) is compared with the settling velocity (Ws, in m/s) considering a grain size $D = 200 \,\mu\text{m}$ representative of the fine sand fraction of the sediment (see Figure 7). The mean (τ_m) and maximum (τ_{max}) values of the skin friction bed shear stress over a wave cycle (in Pa) were first computed by means of the following formula (Soulsby, 1997, Eq. 69 and 70):

$$\tau_m = \tau_c \left(1 + 1.2 \left(\frac{\tau_w}{\tau_c + \tau_w} \right)^{3.2} \right)$$

$$\tau_{max} = \sqrt{\left(\tau_m + \tau_w \cos \phi \right)^2 + \left(\tau_w \sin \phi \right)^2}$$
(C.1)

where τ_w is the wave-only peak bed shear-stress, τ_c is the current-only bed shear stress and ϕ is the angle between current direction and mean wave direction, which was readily estimated through the cross-spectral analysis of orbital velocities, while classical expressions were used for τ_w and τ_c with a bed roughness length (z_0) set to D/12 (see Example 5.1 of Soulsby, 1997):

$$\tau_c = \rho C_d \overline{u}^2 \tag{C.2}$$

291

$$\tau_w = \frac{1}{2} \rho f_w U_{orb} \tag{C.3}$$

with C_d , the drag coefficient and f_w , the wave friction factor, that respectively read:

$$C_d = \left(\frac{\kappa}{1 + \log\left(\frac{z_0}{h}\right)}\right)^2 \tag{C.4}$$

293

$$f_w = 1.39 \left(\frac{A_{orb}}{z_0}\right)^{-0.52} \tag{C.5}$$

where $\kappa \simeq 0.41$ is the von kármán constant and U_{orb} , A_{orb} are the local estimates of the orbital velocity and semi-orbital excursion at the bottom level at peak frequency:

$$U_{orb} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{H_{m0}}{T_{pc} \sinh\left(kh\right)} \tag{C.6}$$

296

$$A_{orb} = \frac{U_{orb}T_{pc}}{2\pi} \tag{C.7}$$

²⁹⁷ The maximum skin friction velocity thus reads:

$$u_{max}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{max}}{\rho}} \tag{C.8}$$

²⁹⁸ Second, the settling velocity for the single class sediment was given by (Soulsby, 1997, Eq. 102):

$$W_s = \frac{\nu}{D} \left((10.36^2 + 1.049D_*^3)^{1/2} - 10.36 \right)$$
(C.9)

where $\nu \simeq 1.36 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ is the sea-water kinematic viscosity and D_* is the dimensionless grain size (see Soulsby, 1997, Eq. 98).



Figure 7: Skin friction velocity versus settling velocity of fine sand and relative short wave orbital velocities decrease between the wave cell and the OBS vertical locations.

301 References

- Abdelrahman, S. and Thornton, E. (1987). Changes in the short wave amplitude and wavenumber
- due to the presence of infragravity waves. In *Coastal Hydrodynamics*, pages 458–478. ASCE.
- Beach, R. A. and Sternberg, R. W. (1988). Suspended sediment transport in the surf zone: response
 to cross-shore infragravity motion. *Marine Geology*, 80(1-2):61-79.
- Bertin, X., Castelle, B., Chaumillon, E., Butel, R., and Quique, R. (2008). Longshore transport
 estimation and inter-annual variability at a high-energy dissipative beach: St. trojan beach, sw
 oléron island, france. *Continental Shelf Research*, 28(10-11):1316–1332.
- Bertin, X., Li, K., Roland, A., and Bidlot, J.-R. (2015). The contribution of short-waves in storm
 surges: Two case studies in the bay of biscay. *Continental Shelf Research*, 96:1–15.
- Bertin, X., Martins, K., de Bakker, A., Chataigner, T., Guérin, T., Coulombier, T., and de Viron,
 O. (2020). Energy transfers and reflection of infragravity waves at a dissipative beach under
- storm waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125(5):e2019JC015714.
- Bishop, C. T. and Donelan, M. A. (1987). Measuring waves with pressure transducers. Coastal
 Engineering, 11(4):309-328.
- ³¹⁶ Coulombier, T., Neumeier, U., and Bernatchez, P. (2012). Sediment transport in a cold climate ³¹⁷ salt marsh (st. lawrence estuary, canada), the importance of vegetation and waves. *Estuarine*, *Canadal and Shalf Science*, 101:64–75.
- ³¹⁸ Coastal and Shelf Science, 101:64–75.

- de Bakker, A., Brinkkemper, J., Van der Steen, F., Tissier, M., and Ruessink, B. (2016). Cross-
- shore sand transport by infragravity waves as a function of beach steepness. Journal of Geo-
- physical Research: Earth Surface, 121(10):1786-1799.
- Dibajnia, M. and Watanabe, A. (1992). Sheet flow under nonlinear waves and currents. Coastal
 Engineering Proceedings, (23).
- ³²⁴ Dodet, G., Bertin, X., Bouchette, F., Gravelle, M., Testut, L., and Wöppelmann, G. (2019).
- ³²⁵ Characterization of sea-level variations along the metropolitan coasts of france: waves, tides,
- storm surges and long-term changes. Journal of Coastal Research, 88(sp1):10-24.
- ³²⁷ Dohmen-Janssen, C. M., Kroekenstoel, D. F., Hassan, W. N., and Ribberink, J. S. (2002). ³²⁸ Phase lags in oscillatory sheet flow: experiments and bed load modelling. *Coastal Engineer-*³²⁹ *ing*, 46(1):61–87.
- Elgar, S., Gallagher, E. L., and Guza, R. (2001). Nearshore sandbar migration. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Oceans, 106(C6):11623–11627.
- Elgar, S. and Guza, R. T. (1985). Observations of bispectra of shoaling surface gravity waves.
 Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 161:425-448.
- 334 Grasso, F., Michallet, H., and Barthélemy, E. (2011). Sediment transport associated with mor-
- phological beach changes forced by irregular asymmetric, skewed waves. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Oceans, 116(C3).
- Guza, R., Thornton, E., and Holman, R. (1985). Swash on steep and shallow beaches. In *Coastal Engineering 1984*, pages 708–723.
- Hamm, L. and Peronnard, C. (1997). Wave parameters in the nearshore: A clarification. Coastal
 Engineering, 32(2-3):119-135.
- Hamon-Kerivel, K., Cooper, A., Jackson, D., Sedrati, M., and Pintado, E. G. (2020). Shoreface
 mesoscale morphodynamics: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, page 103330.
- Hanes, D. M. and Huntley, D. A. (1986). Continuous measurements of suspended sand concentra-
- tion in a wave dominated nearshore environment. Continental Shelf Research, 6(4):585–596.
- Hsu, T.-J. and Hanes, D. M. (2004). Effects of wave shape on sheet flow sediment transport.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 109(C5).
- Jaffe, B. E. and Rubin, D. M. (1996). Using nonlinear forecasting to learn the magnitude and phasing of time-varying sediment suspension in the surf zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 101(C6):14283–14296.
- Jaffe, B. E., Sternberg, R. W., and Sallenger, A. H. (1985). The role of suspended sediment in shore-normal beach profile changes. In *Coastal Engineering 1984*, pages 1983–1996.
- List, J. H. (1991). Wave groupiness variations in the nearshore. *Coastal Engineering*, 15(5-6):475–496.
- Mouragues, A., Bonneton, P., Lannes, D., Castelle, B., and Marieu, V. (2019). Field data-based
- evaluation of methods for recovering surface wave elevation from pressure measurements. *Coastal*
- $_{356}$ Engineering, 150:147-159.

- Osborne, P. D. and Greenwood, B. (1992). Frequency dependent cross-shore suspended sediment transport. 1. a non-barred shoreface. *Marine Geology*, 106(1-2):1-24.
- Pezerat, M., Bertin, X., Martins, K., and Lavaud, L. (2022). Cross-shore distribution of the wave-
- induced circulation over a dissipative beach under storm wave conditions. *Journal of Geophysical*
- ³⁶¹ Research: Oceans, 127(3):e2021JC018108.
- Roelvink, J. and Stive, M. (1989). Bar-generating cross-shore flow mechanisms on a beach. *Journal* of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 94(C4):4785-4800.
- Ruessink, B., Houwman, K., and Hoekstra, P. (1998). The systematic contribution of transporting
- mechanisms to the cross-shore sediment transport in water depths of 3 to 9 m. *Marine Geology*, 152(4):295–324.
- ³⁶⁷ Russell, P. E. (1993). Mechanisms for beach erosion during storms. *Continental Shelf Research*,
 ³⁶⁸ 13(11):1243-1265.
- Soulsby, R. (1997). Dynamics of marine sands A manual for practical applications. Thomas
 Telford Publications, London.
- Van Dongeren, A. R. and Svendsen, I. A. (1997). Quasi 3-d modeling of nearshore hydrodynamics.
 Research report, University of Delaware. CACR-97-04.